

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		DCN P-3002-2	6845
10/624,180	07/22/2003	Alan S. Ambrose	EXAMINER	
JAMES D. STEVENS			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
11.01, 1.11	-		DATE MAILED: 10/01/200)4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,180	AMBROSE ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stoven O. Douglas	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 IN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	MONTH(S) FROM a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. NOTHS from the mailing date of this communication ARANIONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the closed in the closed in accordance with the closed in the closed in the closed in accordance with the closed in the	This action is non-final. owance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	5
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application in the appli			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the company of			
Application Papers	aminer		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in above treation is required if the draw	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		o 0.440(a) (d) or (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received uments have been received ne priority documents have t Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Pap	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:	

Application/Control Number: 10/624,180

Art Unit: 3751

1

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language; or

the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1,7,10,11,17,19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koch'087 et al.

The Koch et al. reference discloses a fuel dispensing nozzle comprising a "housing" 80, a "valve system" 132, a "hollow cavity" containing "sound systems" 300,400 and a "flexible boot" (col. 7, lines 5-8), having a "housing" surmounted thereon proximate reference numerals 306 and 308 (see Figure 13). In regard to claims 7 and 17, the "poppet valve" associated with "valve

Application/Control Number: 10/624,180

Art Unit: 3751

1

system" 132 can be considered a fluid "switch" and the "valve system" 132 further activates a pressure switch 140 for automatic operation of the nozzle.

NOTE: this rejection is essentially identical to the rejection upheld by the Board of Appeals in parent application 08/515,438.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,648,031. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims define a device that anticipates the now claimed subject matter. Examiner takes Official Notice that obviousness falls well within the scope of anticipation. Therefore, to have claims to the now claimed subject matter would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention in view of Examiner's Noticed fact. See also the rational of *In re Goodman. 11F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993)* in support of Examiner's position.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,180

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is 703-308-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

SD 09-28-04

Myllelle